

## FEEDBACK EVALUATION

### Definition of Waste

### Development Industry Code of Practice

### Novotel Hotel Bristol on 05/05/2010

**CL:AIRE**

CONTAMINATED LAND: APPLICATIONS IN REAL ENVIRONMENTS

Relevant to Your Role						
1.	How specific was the module to your role and your training/development needs?					
	<b>Not at all</b> 0%	<b>Slightly</b> 0%	<b>Partly</b> 0%	<b>Mostly</b> 0%	<b>Very</b> 53%	<b>Completely</b> 47%
2.	Did the module provide or refresh you with ideas, techniques and information?					
	<b>Not at all</b> 0%	<b>Slightly</b> 0%	<b>Partly</b> 0%	<b>Mostly</b> 20%	<b>Very</b> 47%	<b>Completely</b> 33%
Overall Comments:						
a. The course was relevant to my needs to fulfil a number of roles within Jacobs.						
b. Very useful.						
c. Very good module, comprehensive and informative.						
Training Content						
3.	Did the module achieve an appropriate balance between theory and practice?					
	<b>Not at all</b> 0%	<b>Slightly</b> 0%	<b>Partly</b> 6%	<b>Mostly</b> 27%	<b>Very</b> 47%	<b>Completely</b> 20%
4.	Were the ideas, theories & models relevant and applicable?					
	<b>Not at all</b> 0%	<b>Slightly</b> 0%	<b>Partly</b> 6%	<b>Mostly</b> 13%	<b>Very</b> 60%	<b>Completely</b> 20%
Overall Comments:						
a. Slides were too brief. Section on SWMP too rushed.						
b. Exercises are time consuming. Would have preferred more examples of format of MMP and SWMP.						
c. Content was relevant, useful and clear.						
d. Well rounded. Covered all bases.						
e. Very good balance of presentation and exercises.						
Training Delivery						
5.	Was the pace/speed of this module appropriate?					
	<b>Too relaxed</b> 0%	<b>Slow</b> 0%	<b>Slow start</b> 6%	<b>Adequate</b> 20%	<b>Fast</b> 6%	<b>Good Pace</b> 67%
6.	How do you rate the quality of training you have received?					
	<b>Poor</b> 0%	<b>Disappointing</b> 0%	<b>Acceptable</b> 0%	<b>Good</b> 13%	<b>Very good</b> 53%	<b>Excellent</b> 33%
Overall Comments:						
a. Very good and clear presentation.						
b. Well delivered; pace was variable but appropriate to the group.						
c. Lots of comments from group slowed pace – unavoidable.						
d. Both facilitators very knowledgeable, very receptive to comments and questions.						
Organisation & Co-ordination						
7.	How would you rate the organisation/co-ordination of this module (including material & venue choice)?					
	<b>Poor</b> 0%	<b>Disappointing</b> 0%	<b>Acceptable</b> 0%	<b>Good</b> 6%	<b>Very Good</b> 47%	<b>Excellent</b> 47%
Overall Comments:						
a. Generally a well planned day with experienced speakers.						
b. Good location and good venue.						
c. Valued knowledge of facilitators.						
d. Good venue.						
e. Excellent coverage and depth of detail.						
Benefits						
What do you expect to do differently/better as a result of this module?						
a. Receive early opinion of EA.						
b. Get more familiar with EA requirements as regards CoP.						
c. Become QP.						
d. Application to specific sites within 3 months.						
e. Gain more waste related work.						
f. Improve waste management knowledge including the production of MMP.						
g. Carry out CoP works in accordance with guidance.						
Recommended developments						
What changes would make this module even more effective?						
a. Examples of completed MMP and SWMP are needed.						
b. Follow up / refresher course as the CoP develops.						
c. More exercises.						
d. Longer day or reduce content slightly.						
Quotable quotes						
What would you say about the module to other people/your colleagues?						
a. Very informative.						
b. A very worthwhile day.						
c. Informative and practical. Speakers excellent.						
d. Informative, cover's all required information for Code of Practice.						
e. Highly recommended.						